

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/705,526	11/03/2000	Gerald Horn	HORN003	6897	
24573 7	590 11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			FAY, ZOHREH A		
PO BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
emendo, n	7 00070-1155		1618		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				T a sa				
Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No. Applicant		(s)			
		09/705,5	26	HORN, GERALD				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Zohreh A	<u></u>	1618				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	Idress			
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, apply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TO 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. The period will apply and we by statute, cause the apply and we have the apply apply and we have the apply apply and we have the apply apply apply and we have the apply a	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tin vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n						
· ——	•	··· ☐ This action is i	non-final					
·	secution as to the	e merits is						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4)🖂	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>38-52</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.					
Application	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	vaminer						
· ===	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		∩ objected to by the I	Examiner				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	=	•		FR 1 121(d)			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	•	<u>-</u>				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
- 12\□ 4	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f).				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
/-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •	<u></u>	Stage			
	application from the International							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action fo	•	* **	ed.				
Attachment	(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		·			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-			Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC No(s)/Mail Date	)/SB/08)	<ul><li>5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</li><li>6)  Other:</li></ul>					

Application/Control Number: 09/705,526

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1-37 are presented for examination.

The remarks filed on August 17, 2005 have been received and entered.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-24, 27-28 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Galin (U.S. Patent 6,730,691). Galin teaches the use of the claimed alpha 1 antagonist in combination with an agent, which reduces the irritation to the eye, such as tetrahydrozolone for modulating pupil dilation. See column 2, lines 25-35, column 3, lines 60-67, column 4, lines 65-67, column5, lines 1-4 and claims 4 and 8.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 25, 26-29, 30-33 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galin (U.S. Patent 6,730,691). Galin teaches the use of the claim

Application/Control Number: 09/705,526

**Art Unit: 1618** 

designated alpha-adrenergic antagonists in combination with the claimed anti-irritant for modulating the pupil diameter. See column 2, lines 25-35, column 3, lines 60-67, column 4, lines 65-67, column 5, lines 1-4 and claims 4 and 8. The above reference differs from the claimed invention in the diameter of pupil dilation or the carriers used. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to determine the pupil diameter or the pharmaceutical acceptable carriers in the absence of evidence to the contrary. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of the above reference, since it relates to a combination of an alpha- adrenergic antagonist and an anti-irritant agent for modulating the pupil dilation. The determination of pupil diameter and suitable carriers is considered to be within the skill of the artisan in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The newly submitted reference necessitates the new ground of rejection.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z.F